United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/591,521 | 09/01/2006 | Hiroharu Kawahara | 125192.00501 | 1684 | |
| | 7590 11/23/2007 | EXAMINER | | | |
| Pepper Hamilton 500 Grant Street, 50th Floor | | | KIM, ALEXANDER D | | |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 15219 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1656 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/23/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/591,521 | KAWAHARA, HIF | KAWAHARA, HIROHARU | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | , | Alexander D. Kim | 1656 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence a | ddress | |
| A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN | TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 2a) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Secondary</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Secondary</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Secondary</u> 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Secondary</u> 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to the condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to the condition for all the conditions for al | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters | • | e merits is | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) 8) | Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 are subject to restriction | vn from consideration. | ent. | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s) | s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C | • • | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/N | nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date mal Patent Application Ilular definition. | | |

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. By virtue of a preliminary amendment filed on 09/01/2006, claim 19 has been canceled; claims 1-14,16-17 and 20 have been amended; and Claim 21 is newly added. Thus, claims 1-18 and 20-21 are pending in the instant case.

Restriction

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-7 and 20-21, drawn to a human cell strain enabling the continuous production of a desired protein with high efficiency.
- II. Claims 8-10, drawn to a method for selecting human cell strain for producing a desired protein.
- III. Claims 11-16, drawn to a method of producing proteins by the human cell strain.
- IV. Claims 17-18, drawn to a method of purifying a protein.

Art Unit: 1656

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions are linked by the technical feature of a human cell. However, the technical feature of a human cell strain in Claim 1 is not special because it does not constitute an advance over the prior art by Erle et al. (1991, The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 266, 11009-11016) for following reasons. The inventions are linked by the technical feature of a human cell strain enabling the continuous production of a desired protein with high efficiency, comprising: a human cell strain established by transforming a human cell strain whose total intracellular protein weight is 0.1 to 1 mg per 1,000,000 cells; with said human cell strain being further characterized in that after a gene encoding a desired proteins transfected into it, the transfected cell is subsequently cultured. The recitation of "further characterized --- the transfected cell is subsequently cultured" is a product by process wherein the process does not contribute to the structure of claimed human cell strain. The instant claims have a structural limitation of "whose total intracellular protein weight is 0.1 to 1mg per 1,000,000 cells" in Claim 1. However, the claims disclosing a technical feature of a human cell strain is broad to encompass a human cell with a total intracellular protein weight of 0.1 to 1 mg per 1,000,000 cells, wherein a total intracellular protein weight is relative and unclear limitations. The recited total intracellular protein weight reads on total weight during any given time period. The recited total intracellular protein weight reads on total weight accumulated in the cell as well as any intracellular made which eventually degraded.

Art Unit: 1656

The limitation of "intracellular protein" reads on any protein inside the cell including/excluding the protein exported to outside which were originally inside the cell. The limitation of "intracellular protein" also reads on any protein inside the cell and/or any membrane bound proteins including/excluding periplasmic and/or integral membrane proteins, given the broad definition of "intracellular" (see definition of intracellular in the MSN Encarta in the attachment). Therefore, based on unclear and relative nature of the instant claim limitation, one of PEER cell in the library (see top left column, page 11010) by Erle et al. is encompassed by the instant technical feature of claimed human cell. Therefore, Groups II-IV do not share the technical feature of Group I and do not relate to a single general inventive concept.

Election

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 1656

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result

Art Unit: 1656

in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander D. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-5266. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

.

Art Unit: 1656

Alexander Kim November 7, 2007

RICHARD HUTSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER